UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,881	07/21/2003	Ryan Andersen	70113 CCD	6788
7590 12/27/2006 Christopher C. Dunham c/o Cooper & Dunham LLP			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
1185 Ave. of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3726	
				~~~
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/27/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		$N_{l}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
· ·	10/624,881	ANDERSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rick K. Chang	3726				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to really received by the office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATED ATE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE OF THE OF THE ATE OF THE OF T	ATION.  oly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 O	october 2006	•				
_	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 38-45 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-45</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	Ar	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	•	v the Examiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	·	• •	٠			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under oo o.o.o. 3	13(2)*(0) 01 (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		٠			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		olication No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·					
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	eceived.				
•	·					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	• —	Mail Date  ormal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	• •				

Application/Control Number: 10/624,881

Art Unit: 3726

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pemberton (US 3,760,093) in view of G. H. Thomen (US 3,530,661).

Pemberton discloses in Fig. 3 a plurality of rollers, Examples 1-10 discloses different configurations, col. 3, lines 30-35 discloses rotating, it is inherent that the rolling passes positioned between the supply and the stranding machine. Pemberton fails to disclose roll sets.

Thomen discloses roll sets shown in Fig. 4A and in Figs. 4-5 show different locations of roll sets.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Pemberton by providing roll sets, as taught by Thomen, for the purpose of evenly stressing the wires.

Pemberton fails to disclose reducing the wires by no more than 25%, 30% or 40% and rotating the wires through an angle of 45 to 135. it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reduce the wires by no more than 25%, 30% or 40% and rotate the wires through an angle of 45 to 135, since it has been held that where general conditions of claims are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3726

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Interviews After Final

4. Applicant note that an interview after a final rejection must be submitted briefly in writing the intended purpose and content of the interview (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Upon review of the agenda, the Examiner may grant the interview if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

### Conclusion

5. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,881

Art Unit: 3726

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

RC December 20, 2006